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Abstract

Machine tool chatter causes machining instability, surface roughness, and tool wear in metal cutting processes. A stability lobe diagram based on regenerative chatter theory is an effective tool to predict and control chatter. Stability diagrams can be applied in machining processes to optimize the maximum depth of cut at the highest available spindle speed, thus improving the material removal rate and increasing productivity. A stability lobe diagram is formed by a series of intersected scallop-shaped borderlines of stability. The intersections of the lobes denote the deepest stable cuts at various ranges of spindle speed. These optimum depths of cut have traditionally been found by graphical solution from the stability lobe diagram. The creation of a stability lobe diagram requires lengthy procedures and calculations. Furthermore, discussion of the topic in manufacturing textbooks is still limited. This paper presents a simple method to analytically calculate the approximate optimum depths of cut and determine the corresponding spindle speeds. This method allows machine operators to practically choose cutting parameters, instructors to effectively teach the regenerative chatter theory, and students to easily create stability lobe diagrams and practice optimizing cutting parameters. A procedure is also introduced to use a spreadsheet to analyze machining parameters and construct stability lobe diagrams. The characteristics and limitations of the stability lobe diagram are discussed. Case studies are also provided to illustrate the method and verify the results.

1. Introduction

Machining by metal cutting is one of the most popular manufacturing techniques. Over $100 billion is spent annually on machining operations in the United States [1]. High material removal rate (MRR) and surface quality are always the primary objectives of machining operations. Since the 19th century Industrial Revolution, continuous improvements have been made in machine tools and cutting tools. New materials and designs have significantly increased the hardness and life of cutting tools. High-speed machining centers can now operate at spindle speeds as high as half a million revolutions per minute (RPM). In order to utilize the power and capacity of these new machine tools and cutting tools, and to achieve potential high MRR and desired surface quality, optimum machining parameters are necessary.
Much research has been done on improving the material removal rate and surface quality by setting optimal machining parameters and controlling machine tool chatter. Machine chatter theory was first developed by Tobias & Fishwick \cite{2} in the late 1950s. For half a century, many researchers have contributed to the development of the regenerative chatter theory, including Merritt \cite{3}, Tlusty \cite{4,5}, Smith \cite{6}, and Altintas & Budak \cite{7}. Regenerative chatter theory creates a relationship between spindle speed and the critical chip width or depth of cut. The theory produces a stability lobe diagram and makes it possible to achieve the highest applicable MRR for a machining process. In the past decade, there have been more than a dozen doctoral dissertations \cite{8-24} and a number of research articles published \cite{25-75}. Most of these recent researches have focused on topics such as multimode chattering, variations of machine tools and cutting tools, numerical modeling, and instrumental measurements. However, a thorough discussion of chatter theory and equations, along with improvement to techniques for creating the stability lobe diagram, are equally important. In particular, they are valuable in the classroom, so that students can easily create a stability lobe diagram and practice optimizing cutting parameters. Manufacturing industries can also apply the theory by using practical and simplified techniques to improve MRR and production rate. A method is presented below to create the stability lobe diagram using a spreadsheet. It also presents an analytical rather than graphical method of calculating the approximate optimal chip widths.

### 2. Governing equations of machine chattering

The governing equations of machine chattering can be derived from the general equation of vibration and the regenerative chatter equations.

#### 2.1 Critical chip width

In orthogonal cutting, the cutting force $F$ is proportional to the cutting area (the product of the chip width or depth of cut $b$ and thickness $h$) \cite{3}:

$$ F = k_s b h = k_s b [x(t - T) - x(t)] $$

where $k_s$ is the cutting stiffness or specific power, $x$ is the displacement of the cutter normal to the cut, $t$ is time, and $T$ is the time interval between the previous and current cuts. Substituting eq. 1 into the general equation of vibration:

$$ m \ddot{x} + c \dot{x} + kx = F $$

We obtain the formula for chip width \cite{4}:

$$ b = \frac{-1}{2k_s G_r} $$
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where \( G_R \) is the real part of the frequency response function (FRF) \( G \) as in the standard solution of eq. 2 alone,

\[
\frac{x}{F} = \frac{1 - r^2}{k[(1 - r^2)^2 + (2\zeta r)^2]} + i\frac{-2\zeta r}{k[(1 - r^2)^2 + (2\zeta r)^2]} = G_R + iG_I = G
\] (4)

The reader is directed to standard mechanics textbooks for detailed derivations and solutions for the general equation of vibration.

In eq. 2, eq. 3, and eq. 4:

\[
m = \text{Mass} \quad c = \text{Damping coefficient} \quad k = \text{Stiffness (} k = F/x \text{)} \quad r = \text{Ratio of chatter frequency to natural frequency (} r = f/f_n \text{).} \quad \zeta = \text{Ratio of the damping coefficient to the critical damping coefficient (} \zeta = c/c_c \text{).} \quad c_c = 2\sqrt{km}.
\]

When we solve eq. 1 and eq. 2 together, the chip width \( b \) is dependent on the frequency \( f \) of machine vibration or chatter through the frequency ratio \( r \). For each chatter frequency generated on a machining system, there is a corresponding critical chip width \( b \). The cutting process is stable when its chip width is less than the critical value and unstable otherwise.

2.2 Minimum chip width

The chip width \( b \) should always be positive (greater than or equal to zero.) Therefore, from eq. 3 and eq. 4, the minimum chip width \( b_{\text{min}} \) occurs at the maximum negative value of \( G_R \) (or true minimum \( G_{R,\text{min}} \)) when \( r = \sqrt{1 + 2\zeta} \) \(^{[4]}\):

\[
b_{\text{min}} = \frac{-1}{2k_i G_{R,\text{min}}} = \frac{2k_i\zeta(1 + \zeta)}{k_i}
\] (5)

This minimum chip width represents a limit in a machining process. Since it is independent of the chatter frequency, there is only a fixed value determined by the materials and geometries of the cutter and the workpiece. A cutting process is unconditionally stable when the chip widths are under this critical value. A dimensionless chip width may be represented by the ratio of chip width to the minimum chip width \(^{[5]}\):

---
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Eq. 3 represents the relationship between the chip width $b$ and chatter frequency $f (f = rf_s)$. While eq. 6 represents the relationship between the dimensionless chip width ratio $r_b$ and chatter frequency ratio $r$.

### 2.3 Equations of regenerative chatter

Roughness or waviness always exists on the machined surface of workpieces due to vibrations. According to regenerative chatter theory, chatter occurs whenever there is a shift of the phase angle $\varepsilon$ between the current and previous surface waviness. Therefore, the ratio of chatter frequency $f$ to tooth-stroke frequency $f_t$ represents the number of surface waves between consecutive cutter teeth, and can be written as an integer $n$ (also called the lobe number. $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$) plus a fraction of $\varepsilon / 2\pi$ radians \[^5\]:

$$
\frac{f}{f_t} = \frac{r}{r_t} = n + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi} \tag{7}
$$

where $r_t$ is the ratio between the tooth frequency and natural frequency ($r_t = f_t / f_n$). The phase shift angle $\varepsilon$ between the current and previous surface waviness may be expressed as \[^5\]:

$$
\varepsilon = \pi + 2 \tan^{-1} \frac{G_I}{G_R} \tag{8}
$$

Since the real and imaginary FRF’s $G_R$ and $G_I$ are both negative (eq. 4), using the principal range of $-\pi/2 < \tan^{-1} x < \pi/2$, we have $0 < \tan^{-1}(G_I / G_R) < \pi/2$, and the phase shift angle $\varepsilon$ is between $\pi < \varepsilon < 2\pi$.

Substitute eq. 3 into eq. 8, and then into eq. 7, we obtain the equation of regenerative chatter:

$$
\frac{f}{f_t} = \frac{r}{r_t} = n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{-2\zeta r}{1 - r^2} \tag{9}
$$

Eq. 9 represents the relationships among the chatter frequency $f$ (or $r$), the tooth frequency $f_t$ (or $r_t$), and the lobe number $n$. Together with eq. 3 (or eq.6), they form the governing relationship between the chip width $b$ and spindle speed $N$, or between the dimensionless chip width ratio $r_b$ and chatter frequency ratio $r_t$. The spindle speed $N$ can be related to the tooth frequency $f_t$ ($f_t = n_t N / 60$), where $n_t$ is the number of teeth on the cutter.
For multiple-teeth cutters and multiple degrees of freedom, additional parameters and equations are necessary. It has also been observed both theoretically and practically that the relationships represented by the machine chattering equations do not hold for machining processes with very low spindle speeds. Tobias and Fishwick [2] derived equations under different conditions in the low-speed range. These topics are beyond the scope of our discussion.

3. The stability lobe diagram

From eq. 3 and eq. 9, we can create a stability lobe diagram between the chip width $b$ and spindle speed $N$ (Figure 1). We may also create a stability lobe diagram between the dimensionless $r_b = b / b_{\text{min}}$ and $r_t = f / f_t$ from eq. 6 and eq. 9 (Figure 2). The dimensionless stability lobe diagram has the advantage of allowing comparisons among different machining conditions. Mixed dimensions are also possible, such as $b \sim r_t$ and $r_b \sim N$, but not recommended.

![Stability lobe diagram](image)

Figure 1 Stability lobe diagram for the prediction of the maximum chip width
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Since the series of relationship curves in Figure 1 and Figure 2 are shaped like lobes, the graph is usually called a stability lobe diagram. A stability lobe diagram shows the relationship between chip width (or depth of cut) and spindle speed, with the lobe number as a parameter. Usually, the variable on its x-axis is represented as spindle speed $N$, tooth frequency $f_t$ ($f_t = n_t N / 60$), or the tooth frequency ratio $r_t$ ($r_t = f_t / f_n$); the variable on its y-axis is represented as chip width $b$ or the chip width ratio $r_b$ ($r_b = b / b_{min}$). The dimensionless relationship of $r_b \sim r_t$ will be discussed. The lobes and their characteristics are similar for the other variables.

It is more convenient to calculate the points on the lobes using spreadsheet software. The step-by-step procedure for generating the points is illustrated in Table 1.
Table 1 Calculating the stability lobes of \( r_b \sim r_t \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( j )</th>
<th>( f_j )</th>
<th>( r_j )</th>
<th>( r_{bj} )</th>
<th>( r_{tj} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( n_j )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( n = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td>6.026</td>
<td>1.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>4.061</td>
<td>1.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>2.135</td>
<td>1.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>1.016</td>
<td>1.678</td>
<td>1.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Step 1. For each increment \( j \) of the chatter frequency \( f_j \), calculate \( r_j \) and \( r_{bj} \) from eq. 6.
Step 2. For each lobe number \( n \), calculate its corresponding \( r_{tj} \) from eq. 9.
Step 3. The points for each \( n \) value are plotted to form a single lobe, and a series of lobes \( (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots) \) are plotted to form the stability lobe diagram of \( r_b \sim r_t \).

In Table 1, the subscript \( j \) indicates the chatter frequency \( f \) after the \( j \)th increment. The procedure to create the stability lobes of \( b \sim N \) is the same as that of \( r_b \sim r_t \), except that eq. 3 is used to calculate \( b \) instead of using eq. 6 to calculate \( r_b \), and the spindle speed \( N (N = 60 f_i / n_t = 60 r_t f_n / n_t) \) is used instead of \( r_t \). The results in Table 1 are generated using the same data as the example in Tlusty [4]. The parameters are: \( k = 10, k_s = 1, \zeta = 0.05, n_t = 1 \), and \( f_n = 250 \) Hz.

4. Properties of the stability lobe diagram

Some interesting characteristics exist in the stability lobe diagram, which may be utilized to optimize the chip width or depth of cut and obtain the maximum material removal rate (MRR) in machining processes.

4.1 Stability criteria and chatter lines

In a stability lobe diagram, a series of scallop-shaped lobes intersect with each other. These lobes form the limits for chattering. Locally, for each lobe, it is stable below the lobe, and unstable above the lobe. Since the lobes intersect, a point located below one lobe could be above the neighboring lobe. This point must be treated as unstable. Therefore, globally, we must consider the relationship between adjacent lobes in determining stability. The upper portions of any two adjacent lobes above their intersection point should be trimmed off. The intersection points connect all the lobes into "chained" chatter lines (Figure 1.) All the points above the chatter lines are unstable, and below are stable. As spindle speed increases, the lobes become wider with larger intervening spaces between consecutive lobes, and intersection points are higher. This phenomenon creates a desirable situation for machining at both higher speed and deeper cut simultaneously, as well as at a wider speed range.
The two sides of the series of lobes have some special characteristics. The first lobe #0 on the right of the diagram has the maximum stable chip width at its intersection with lobe #1. The right branch of lobe #0 has no intersection with other lobes, which allows unlimited chip width at extremely high spindle speed. Due to the limits of cutter material composition and available motor power, we may not be able to reach the range practically. However, further investigation is needed to verify its theoretical validity. The lobes on the left of the diagram are crowded both horizontally and vertically. The lobes not only become closer together toward the left, but their intersection points also move downward, closer to the minimum chip width ($b = b_{\text{min}}$).

However, in real machining processes, bigger chip widths or deeper cuts have been reached without chattering. To solve this problem, Tobias and Fishwick [2] derived a different equation that produces a chatter line with bigger chip width.

### 4.2 The stability regions and their border lines

The entire range of the stability lobe diagram may be divided by the lower and upper border lines (the dashed lines on Figure 1 and Figure 2) into three regions of stability: unconditionally stable, conditionally stable, and unconditionally unstable. The lowest points on the lobes are the minimum chip widths with the same values ($b = b_{\text{min}}$ at $r_b = 1$). A horizontal border line can be formed by connecting the lowest points on the lobes or simply drawn at $b = b_{\text{min}}$. The region below this line is unconditionally stable, which is independent of chatter frequency or spindle speed. We may also fit a curve through or simply connect all intersection points of the lobes to form another border line. The region above this upper border line is unconditionally unstable, and chatter always occurs in the region. The region in between the two regions is conditionally stable. In the conditionally stable region, points are stable when they are below the lobes, and unstable above the lobes. The upper and lower border lines converge to a point of minimum chip width at $r_b = 1$ when the spindle speed approaches zero.

The division into three stability regions with the two border lines provides a distinctive separation between stable and unstable zones. This enables a quick estimate and selection of the allowable chip width for a machining process to prevent chattering, instead of fine tuning the optimum chip width in the conditionally stable region. We can always safely control the cutting in the unconditionally stable region at all ranges of speeds by limiting the chip width to be smaller than $b_{\text{min}}$. Doing so may not utilize the maximum chip width for the MRR, but the quick selection and guarantee of stability are still valuable in applications, especially in small machine shops. The upper border line can also provide a quick check for instability, so that we can avoid the cuttings where chip width falls into the unconditionally unstable region.

### 4.3 The optimum chip width at sweet spots

All lobes intersect with other lobes on both sides, except for the #0 lobe which has no intersection point with other lobes on its right side. The intersection points are the peak points of the lobes, and they provide the deepest cuts at various speed ranges nearby. Therefore, these
peak points are called the sweet spots. If we could locate these sweet spots or the optimum depths of cut, we will be able to fully utilize the potential of the machining system and obtain the most efficient material removal rate (MRR). A method is introduced here to analytically determine the approximate sweet spots at the intersections.

The sweetest spot is the intersection of the #0 lobe and #1 lobe, which provides the deepest cut at the highest spindle speed. Due to many other factors, such as the limits of machine power and spindle speed, it may not be practical to operate a specific machine to cut certain workpiece materials at the sweetest spot.

5. The optimum chip widths

5.1 Difficulties in determining the optimum chip widths

In the past, the optimum chip widths or sweet spots were approximately interpolated read by eye from the stability lobe diagram at the intersections of the lobes. This graphical solution neither utilizes available modern computing power nor provides accurate and consistent numerical values.

Researchers have made efforts to calculate the optimum chip widths. Based on the resonance of frequencies, Smith and Tlusty \cite{Smith6} suggested that the maximum chip widths occur when the tooth frequency $f_t$ (or a multiple of tooth frequencies) matches the natural frequency $f_n$,

$$f_n = (n + 1)f_t \quad \text{or} \quad r_t = f_t / f_n = 1 / (n + 1), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

where the maximum chip width occurs at the intersection of the $n^{th}$ lobe and the $(n + 1)^{th}$ lobe. Eq. 11 gives results of $r_t = f_t / f_n = 1, 1/2, 1/3, ...$ for the consecutive series of lobes. From the stability lobe diagram (Figure 2), these values on the x-axis correspond to the vertical asymptotes at the left branches of the lobes. At these points, the chip widths are infinite.

Smith & Tlusty \cite{Smith6} also made an attempt to relate the chatter frequency $f$ to the tooth frequency $f_t$. They suggested that the peak point between the $n^{th}$ lobe and the $(n + 1)^{th}$ lobe may be found by the intersection of two functions. One of them is the chatter frequency function $f$ for the $n^{th}$ lobe, and the other is the tooth frequency function $(n + 1)f_t$, both as function of the tooth frequency $f_t$ (Figure 3). The tooth frequency function $(n + 1)f_t$ is a simple linear function with expressions of $f_t, 2f_t, 3f_t$, ... for the lobes #0, #1, #2, etc. At the intersection points of the two functions, we have

$$f = (n + 1)f_t \quad \text{or} \quad r = (n + 1)r_t, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ...$$
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This approach does not work either. Comparing Figure 3 with Figure 2, we see these intersection points also correspond to the vertical asymptotes at the left branches of the lobes, resulting in infinite chip widths.

Why do both approaches encounter the same difficulty of yielding infinite chip widths? The cause may be the attempt to relate the three frequencies: chatter frequency $f$, natural frequency $f_n$, and tooth frequency $f_t$ through eq. 7, eq. 11, and eq. 12.

Letting $\varepsilon = 2\pi$ in eq. 7 produces eq. 12. However, when $\varepsilon = 2\pi$, there is no phase shift between the chatter frequency $f$ and tooth frequency $f_t$. This means that the chatter frequency is equal to the tooth frequency by a factor of $(n + 1)$. When this happens, the two frequencies are in harmony. This situation violates the fundamental assumption of regenerative chatter theory: the chatter is caused by the phase shift between the surface waviness of consecutive cuts.

If we let $\varepsilon = 0$ and $f = f_n$ in eq. 7, we obtain eq. 11. Not only is zero phase shift ($\varepsilon = 0$) is not allowed by the regenerative chatter theory, but also the chatter frequency $f$ cannot be equal to the natural frequency $f_n$. The point at $r = f/f_n = 1$ is a singular point, which makes the real FRF $Gr = 0$ (eq. 4), and as a result, $b = \infty$ (eq. 3). This condition results in the vertical asymptotes at the left branches of the lobes.
5.2 Approximate solution of the optimum chip widths

Thus far, we have not been able to solve for the intersection points of the lobes. A method is introduced here to analytically calculate the approximate optimum chip widths. It also provides a procedure of finding the sweet spots using a spreadsheet.

Let us look again at the relationship between the chatter frequency $f$ and the tooth frequency $f_t$ (or $r = f / f_n$ and $r_t = f_t / f_n$). We know from eq. 11 that when $r_t = 1, 1/2, 1/3, \ldots$, the vertical asymptotes exist at the left branches of the lobes in the stability lobe diagrams. At these locations, the chip widths are infinite. However, we may still use the relationship to analytically locate the approximate peak values of the chip widths or the intersections of the lobes. Figure 4 shows both relationships of $r \sim r_t$ and $(n+1)r_t \sim r_t$. At each value of $(n+1)r_t$ on the x-axis, there are two corresponding values of $r$, $r_n$ and $r_{n+1}$, on the y-axis for any pair of adjacent lobes $n$ and $(n+1)$. The values of $r_n$ from the $n^{th}$ lobe approach 1, which yields $r_n = \infty$, therefore, not applicable. However, the value of $r_{n+1}$ from the next $(n+1)^{th}$ lobe is finite, which may be used to determine the intersection points of the $n^{th}$ and $(n+1)^{th}$ lobes, i.e., the optimum depths of cut $b$ (or $r_b$), with very close approximations. These points are marked in Figure 3 as squares on the $r \sim r_t$ plots.

The idea of calculating the approximate optimum chip width is actually quite simple. Since the suggested intersection points (eq. 11 and eq. 12) are invalid with infinite chip widths for the $n^{th}$ lobe, we will use the same value on the x-axis to calculate the chip width for the $(n+1)^{th}$ lobe, and obtain the result as an approximate intersection point for the $n^{th}$ and $(n+1)^{th}$ lobes. The governing equations and detailed procedures to calculate the intersection points are as follows.

The approximate intersection point for the $n^{th}$ lobe and $(n+1)^{th}$ lobe is located at the point on the x-axis:

\[
r_i = \frac{1}{n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

For the $(n+1)^{th}$ lobe, from eq. 9, we have

\[
r_t = \frac{r}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{-2\xi r}{1-r^2}}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Substituting eq. 13 into eq. 14, we obtain
\[
\frac{r}{n + 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{2\zeta r}{1-r^2}} = \frac{1}{n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]  
(15)

Solve eq. 15 for \( r \). Substituting \( r \) into eq. 6, we obtain the approximate optimum chip width \( b \) (or \( r_b \)).

It may require multiple iterations to solve eq. 15 numerically. It is convenient to use a spreadsheet to calculate the approximate optimum chip width \( r_b \) (Table 2). A step-by-step procedure of the calculations is illustrated in Table 2 as an example. In order to compare the results, the same data \cite{4} used to create Figure 1 are used here again. The chip width value for each \( n \) is the approximate intersection point between the \( n^{th} \) lobe and \((n + 1)^{th}\) lobe. Both the dimensionless relationship of \( r_t \sim r_b \) and the dimensional relationship \( N \sim b \) are listed in the table for comparison.

Table 2 Calculated optimum chip widths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( r_t )</th>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>( r_b )</th>
<th>( N )</th>
<th>( b )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.536</td>
<td>6.555</td>
<td>15,002</td>
<td>6.883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>1.282</td>
<td>3.186</td>
<td>7,504</td>
<td>3.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>1.195</td>
<td>2.197</td>
<td>4,998</td>
<td>2.307</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Step 1. For lobe number \( n \), calculate \( r_t \) from eq. 13.
Step 2. Also for lobe number \( n \), calculate \( r \) by iteration from eq. 15.
Step 3. Substitute \( r \) into eq. 9 to obtain \( r_b \).
Step 4. The result of \( r_b \) is the approximate optimum chip widths at \( r_t \) for the intersection of the \( n^{th} \) and \((n + 1)^{th}\) lobes. The results may also be written as the spindle speed vs. chip width \( N \sim b \).

The calculated approximate analytical solutions of the intersections (Table 2) are plotted in Figure 2, and marked by square symbols. The intersection values interpolated by graphic solutions (also approximate) from Figure 1 are also listed in Table 3 for comparison with the approximate analytical solutions in Table 2. From Figure 2 and the comparison of the data in Table 2 and Table 3, the calculated approximate points are located to the left of the “actual” intersections. The differences of the optimum chip widths (\( b \) or \( r_b \)) between the analytical calculations (Table 2) and the interpolated graphic solutions (Table 3) are closer in absolute values toward lower spindle speeds, while smaller in relative variations toward higher spindle speeds. At the sweetest spot or the intersection of the #0 lobe and #1 lobe, the difference of \( r_b \) is 0.575 or 8%. Therefore, the method can be used to analytically calculate a good approximation of optimum chip widths for MRR.
Table 3 Graphic solution of optimum chip widths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>$r_l$</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$r_b$</th>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.027</td>
<td>1.536</td>
<td>7.130</td>
<td>15,404</td>
<td>7.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>1.282</td>
<td>3.598</td>
<td>7,721</td>
<td>3.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>1.195</td>
<td>2.583</td>
<td>5,161</td>
<td>2.712</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Conclusion

A method of using a spreadsheet to create stability lobe diagrams is presented. This step-by-step procedure provides an easy way for machine operators to choose the optimum chip widths and high spindle speeds at the sweet spots on the diagram to obtain a high material removal rate (MRR). It also helps students to understand the theory of machine chattering, and practice on the optimum cutting parameters in machining processes. A stability lobe diagram is composed of a series of scallop-shaped chatter lines. It may be represented either as the relationship between the chip width or depth of cut and spindle speed as $b \sim N$, or as a dimensionless relationship between the ratios of the chip width to the minimum chip width and the tooth-stroke frequency to the natural frequency as $r_b$ and $r_l$. The dimensionless relationship is preferable because it makes it easy to compare machining processes under different cutting parameters and conditions.

The intersections or sweet spots of the consecutive series of lobes provide the optimum chip widths. In the past, the sweet spots were found by graphic solutions from the stability lobe diagram. An analytical method is presented here to calculate the approximate optimum chip widths. A step-by-step procedure for doing the calculation using a spreadsheet is also presented. The results of the method show that they are close to the actual values, especially for the sweetest spot at the intersection of the #0 lobe and #1 lobe, which provides the stable machining at the highest spindle speed and the deepest depth of cut. The method may be used to easily obtain a quick estimate of the optimum chip widths for calibrating the cutting parameters.

The figures and tables were created using the same data as the example by Tlusty \cite{4} for verifications of the methods and comparisons of results. They showed that the methods yielded outcomes very similar to Tlusty’s results. Machining is a very complicated process. There are many parameters that contribute to the interactions between machine tool, cutting tool, and workpiece. For example, additional factors must be considered in milling processes, such as the interrupted cutting process caused by multi-tooth cutters, the variable chip width from the entry to the exit of a cuter tooth, and the variable directions of cutting force with respect to a fixed coordinate system. More complications also arise in multiple degrees of freedom in multi-mode machine chattering. Due to the limit of the scope, we have only discussed the fundamental
concepts and procedures in creating a stability lobe diagram. More discussion of the regenerative chatter theory and the stability lobe diagram will be presented in the future.
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